

Integrating Disaster Risk Reduction and Climate Change Adaptation in Local Development Planning and Decision-making Processes

(Integrating DRR/CCA Project)

ANNUAL PROJECT REPORT (January 01 – December 31, 2012)

ACRONYMS

| APR | Annual Project Report |
|----------|--|
| AusAID | Australian Agency for International Development |
| CC | Climate Change |
| CCA | Climate change adaptation |
| CCC | Climate Change Commission |
| ССО | Climate Change Office |
| CLUP | Comprehensive Land Use Plan |
| CRISP | Climate Change and Disaster Risk Information System for Planning |
| DENR | Department of Environment and Natural Resources |
| DILG | Department of Interior and Local Governments |
| DRA | Disaster risk assessment |
| DRR | Disaster risk reduction |
| EMB | Environmental Management Bureau |
| HLURB | Housing and Land Use Regulatory Board |
| LCP | League of Cities of the Philippines |
| LMP | League of Municipalities of the Philippines |
| LPP | League of Provinces of the Philippines |
| NB-NLUC | NEDA Board – National Land Use Committee |
| NCCAP | National Climate Change Action Plan |
| NDRRMC | National Disaster Risk Reduction and Management Council |
| NEDA | National Economic and Development Authority |
| NPFP | National Physical Framework Plan |
| NRO | NEDA Regional Office |
| NSS | National Spatial Strategy |
| MOA | Memorandum of Agreement |
| OCD | Office of Civil Defense |
| PAGASA | Philippine Atmospheric, Geophysical and Astronomical Services Administration |
| PDPFP | Provincial Development Physical Framework Plan |
| PHIVOLCS | Philippine Institute of Volcanology and Seismology |
| READY | Hazards Mapping and Assessment for Effective Community-Based DRM |
| REDAS | Regional Earthquake Damage Assessment System |
| UNDP | United Nations Development Programme |
| | |

Table of Contents

| 1. | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|------|--|----|
| 2. | PROJECT PERFORMANCE | 2 |
| | 2.1. Technical / Physical Accomplishments | 2 |
| | 2.2. Project Financial Delivery | 10 |
| 3. | IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES AND MITIGATING MEASURES | 11 |
| 4. | EMERGING LESSONS | 13 |
| ANNE | X 1: 2012 ANNUAL WORKPLAN | 15 |
| ANNE | X 2: SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE (FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE) | 16 |
| ANNE | X 3: PROJECT STATUS AND REMAINING ACTIVITIES for 2013 | 17 |
| ANNE | X 4: MANAGEMENT RESPONSE TO THE EXTERNAL MIDTERM EVALUATION RESULTS | 24 |
| | | |

Integrating Disaster Risk Reduction and Climate Change Adaptation In Local Development Planning and Decision-making Processes Project

ANNUAL PROJECT REPORT 2012

1. INTRODUCTION

This Annual Project Report (APR) covers the accomplishments of the project "Integrating Disaster Risk Reduction and Climate Change Adaptation in Local Development Planning and Decision-making Processes" for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012.

The project document was signed by the Philippine Government and UNDP on 22 July 2009. Project implementation is scheduled to culminate in December 2013 with a total budget of USD 3.465 Million.

The Project is administered by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) with funding assistance from the Australian Agency for International Development (AusAID) and the New Zealand Aid Programme (NZAP) and implemented by the Philippines' National Economic and Development Authority (NEDA) through its Regional Development Coordination Staff (RDCS) using the national execution¹ modality. NEDA-RDCS works with a number of partners including the Climate Change Commission, the Housing and Land Use Regulatory Board, the Environmental Management Bureau of the DENR, DILG, LCP, LMP, LPP, OCD/NDRRMC, PAGASA and PHIVOLCS, among others.

The project aims to catalyze the mainstreaming of disaster risk reduction/climate change adaptation (DRR/CCA) in development planning and related regulatory processes. This is envisioned to be achieved by: (a) enhancing local awareness and understanding of climate change and its aggravating effect on existing natural hazards, (b) developing tools to enable the formulation of physical framework/land use and development plans that address existing hazards whilst considering climate change risks;(c) demonstrating practical integrated DRR/CCA approaches at the community level; and (d) improving the national enabling environment through national and local DRR enhanced CC plans and multi-stakeholder coordinating mechanisms.

The project is designed to achieve the following outcomes and outputs:

<u>Outcome 1</u>: Local level land use & development planning and decision-making processes reflect CCA/DRR priorities in an integrated fashion.

- **Output 1:** Local government and other stakeholders' awareness, understanding of and competencies on integrated disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation are enhanced.
- **Output 2:** DRR/CCA concerns are incorporated in the land use and development plans and target regions/provinces/municipalities/ cities.

¹ Now the national implementation modality

• **Output 3:** Practical strategies for DRR/CCA are demonstrated at the local level.

<u>Outcome 2</u>: Enhanced multi-stakeholder cooperation in addressing climate change & disaster risk reduction in an integrated manner

- **Output 4:** Policy/program instruments for enhanced multi-stakeholder cooperation to address climate change developed.
- **Output 5:** Mechanisms for multi-stakeholder cooperation on integrated disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation at national and local levels strengthened.

2. **PROJECT PERFORMANCE**

2.1. Technical / Physical Accomplishments

The above outputs are expected to be attained by producing the target deliverables per year identified in the Project Document and re-iterated in the first report. For this year, the Project's accomplishments vis a vis these target deliverables as of December 31, 2012 are provided in **Table 1** below.

| 2012 Target | Accomplishments/Status of Deliverables |
|---|---|
| Deliverables | |
| Output 1: Increased awa | reness, understanding and competence of local government and stakeholders |
| on DRR and climate char | nge |
| Project advocacies and milestones are publicly disseminated | Contracted a Communications Specialist in May 2012. A Communication and Advocacy Plan was drafted and 3 newsletters produced highlighting the results of the flood model study of the Surigao River in Surigao City, the conduct of 3 DR/VA workshops in March, April and May 2012 and 1 workshop on mainstreaming DRRCCA into PDPFPs in May 2012. These workshops targeted the provincial planners of the target provinces, and the relevant NEDA Regional Officers. IEC materials produced were shared in inter-agency meetings and conferences attended by the NEDA-RDCS. |
| | It is also worthwhile to note that, rather than redoing the model, the results of the profile and cross-section survey and inflow measurements and flood modeling of Surigao River, Surigao City, which was conducted under the Integrating DRR/CCA Project, will be used in establishing a real-time flood modeling advisory system for the critical river systems in the country. While the Surigao River is not part of the 18 major river basins targeted by the NOAH Program, , the Project results will seek to address the need and |

Table 1: Technical/Physical Accomplishments as of December 31, 2012

| 2012 Target Deliverables | Accomplishments/Status of Deliverables |
|---|---|
| Output 1: Increased awareness, understanding and competence of local government and stakeholders on DRR and climate change | |
| | demand to provide critical flood advisory to the citizens living in critical rivers, including Surigao River through the NOAH Program's DREAM ² component. This will eventually be posted in the Project NOAH website of the Government, with proper acknowledgment of the IntDRRCCA Project, the IP and relevant development partners based on a formal request made to the NEDA-RDCS by the DREAM Project Team. |

OUTPUT 2: DRR/CCA concerns are incorporated in the land use and physical framework plans of target provinces/cities and municipalities
2. Tools for provinces. The concern about the robustness of the integrated method for assessing

| 2. Tools for provinces | The concern about the robustness of the integrated method for assessing |
|------------------------|---|
| on Integrating | disaster risk and climate risk surfaced in the course of its application in the |
| DRR/CCA into land | provincial planning process |
| use/physical | |
| framework plans | A caucus with PAGASA on Flood Risk Assessment and Climate Change |
| are developed | Scenarios was conducted on 10-12 January 2012 to firm up the methodology |
| | on generating the return periods of hydro-meteorological events which are |
| a. Refinement of | |
| | critical information on mainstreaming DRR and CCA in the Provincial |
| the integrated | Development and Physical Framework Plans or PDPFPs. A total of 45 NEDA RO |
| DRR and CCA | representatives attended the caucus. |
| framework and | |
| methodology | Engaged an external reviewer in August 2012 to assess and review the current |
| | disaster and climate risk assessment methodology in terms of the technical |
| | soundness of the framework and assumptions and its applicability at the |
| | regional, provincial city and municipal level land use and physical framework |
| | planning; as well as give recommendations on how to enhance the framework |
| | and methodology to increase the accuracy of the risk assessment results. |
| | Reviewer's comments were submitted in November 2012 and the reviewer's |
| | recommendations were as follows: |
| | • Mainstream vs. Integration of DRA/CCA - Aside from exploring avenues for |
| | finding ways forward on the DRA and CCA methodologies, an alternative |
| | approach is to find ways to mainstream (e.g. development planning |
| | (including land use) reflected in the Rationalized Planning System of the |
| | Philippines (JMC 2007-1 and JMC 2009-1) which must mainstream CCA |
| | |
| | and DRR (rather than CCA/DRR) priorities in the Local Development |

² The Nationwide Operational Assessment of Hazards (NOAH) of the DOST aims to undertake disaster science research and development, advance the use of cutting edge technologies and recommend innovative information services in government's disaster prevention and mitigation efforts. The DREAM is the nationwide Disaster Risk and Exposure Assessment for Mitigation Project of the Government under its NOAH Program which intends to provide 3D images—reliable, detailed, and up-to-date flood models of the country's 18 major river basins plus watersheds, as well as all other flood-prone areas in the country. Eventually, with more data on hand, it is hoped that the various government agencies will be able to work together to swiftly address potential issues and provide better early warning systems for communities that may be at risk of flooding

| | | cerns are incorporated in the land use and physical framework plans of target |
|---------|---|--|
| provine | ces/cities and mur | Incipalities Investment Plans in an integrated and coherent manner. |
| | | • Establish a system for tracking fine temporal and spatial resolution disaster-related data and information, especially if current quantitative formula will be continued for implementation. |
| | | Spot convergence and disjoint between DRA and CCA in GIS space-based maps to gain more insight on vulnerabilities and potential options for DRM and CCA (e.g. developed countries will have greater risk to property damage in terms of monetary equivalent) |
| | | Identify clearly information from the VA and the DRA Standardize Risk Assessment process to include current and future hazards, sources of vulnerability |
| | | Explore the use of VA combined with hazard, with hazard including not just extreme rainfall events but other climatic and meteorological variables as well that can disrupt normal functioning. Hazard should not be pegged to provincial boundaries. |
| b. | Draft Supplemental Guidelines on | A User's Manual was also prepared to help –provinces proceed with the preliminary steps of the Disaster Risk Assessment using GIS. |
| | Mainstreaming DRR and CCA into Sub- national | A Vulnerability Index Method was prepared to determine sectoral vulnerability of provinces to climate change. The index covers five sectors (agriculture, forestry, coastal, health, and water). |
| | Development and Land Use/ Physical Planning | Hired a consultant in December 2012 to prepare the supplemental guidelines on integrating DRR and CCA into the subnational plans, based on the recommendations of the DRA Methodology Reviewer. |
| C. | Draft Handbook on establishing, | An Experts' Group Meeting was conducted on the Handbook on establishing, managing and maintaining Disaster and Climate Risk Information Support System for Planning (CRISP) on October 24, 2012. The draft Handbook |
| | maintaining DRR and CCA information support system | contains recommended standards and sharing and access protocols for disaster and climate risk-related data needed for development and physical planning. The NEDA Regional Office 2, where the CRISP was pilot-tested, will validate the required datasets and standards in the Handbook through the Regional Land Use Committee. |
| d. | Draft Reference Manual on | The Project supported the Planners' Forum with HLURB on Integrating DRR and CCA into CLUPs, held in March 2012. |
| | DRR/CCA in Comprehensive Land Use Plans | An Experts' Group Meeting on the Reference Manual for Mainstreaming DRR and CCA into the CLUPs was conducted on September 21, 2012. The participants provided suggestions to enhance the format and simplify and clarify the concepts, particularly on the definition of terms, i.e. hazards, impacts, and the actual mainstreaming process. Among the participants were |
| | provin b. | provinces/cities and muticationb.Draftb.DraftSupplementalGuidelines onMainstreamingDRR and CCAinto Sub-nationalDevelopmentand Land Use/PhysicalPlanningC.DraftHandbook onestablishing,managing andmaintainingDRR and CCAinformationsupport systemfor planningORR and CCAd.Draft ReferenceManual onIntegratingDRR/CCA inComprehensive |

| OUTPUT 2: DRR/CCA concerns are incorporated in the land use and physical framework plans of target | | |
|--|--|--|
| provinces/cities and m | | |
| | together with the provincial development and planning officer of the pilot province as well as representatives from HLURB, DILG, PAGASA, League of Provinces, League of Cities and Manila Observatory. | |
| | The Project hired a reviewer (subsumed under the TOR of the Consultant on the Supplemental Guidelines). The Reference Manual is being refined to include the inputs generated during the September 2012 Expert's Group Meeting. | |
| 3. Technical assistance to provinces/LGUs provided in preparing | Three (3) batches of Disaster Risk/Vulnerability Assessment (DR/VA) workshops were conducted in March, April and May 2012, which were participated in by 51 provinces, with a total of 188 participants, of which 44 percent were women. | |
| DRR/CCA-enhanced Land Use/ Physical Framework Plans | The workshop on Mainstreaming DR/VA in the PDPFPs was conducted in May 2012, with 49 participants, of which 49% were women. | |
| | For the NZAP-supported provinces, two (2) workshops on Profiling of Hydrometeorological Hazards were also conducted in November 2012, with a total of 97 participants, of which 42% were women. | |
| a. Preparation of 43 DR/VA reports using alternative DRA and CCA tools (co-financed with MDGF) | Technical assistance through orientation workshops, mapshops, and area reviews were provided to enable provinces conduct the DRA. As a result, 49 provinces have completed Provincial DR/VA reports. | |
| b. 10 provinces with draft DRR/CCA- enhanced PDPFPs | 11 draft DRR/CCA – enhanced PDPFPs prepared, 77 provincial hazard profiles prepared | |
| c. 3 municipalities & 1 city with DRR/CCA- enhanced CLUPs | Draft CLUP chapters on Vision, Goals and Strategies of the 4 pilot LGUs prepared, incorporating DRR and CCA concerns. | |
| Support the updating the National Physical Framework Plan | A project assistant was hired to assist in gathering data on environmentally constrained areas including hazard-prone areas as input to the updating of the NPFP | |
| (NPFP) to include DRR/CCA strategies | (A Study on the National Spatial Strategy, which will be the core strategy of the NPFP, is being done through a separate technical assistance project.) | |

| | JTPUT 2: DRR/CCA cor ovinces/cities and mu | ncerns are incorporated in the land use and physical framework plans of target nicipalities |
|----|--|--|
| 5. | Draft Framework and Process for Mainstreaming DRR/CCA in Local Investment Programming (secondary entry points) | Two consultations with NEDA Regional Offices and PED experts were conducted as part of the scoping activities. A paper on the entry points for mainstreaming DRR/CCA in the project cycle is pending. |
| 6. | Support to Region X's Project on "Enhancing Capacities for Disaster Risk Reduction and Management (DRRM) and Climate Change Adaptation (CCA) and Mainstreaming DRRM/CCA in Development/ Physical Planning | Under NZAP Support: Inception report, and Work and Financial Plan submitted Completed the following: • 1 draft Regional DRA report • 5 draft Provincial DRA reports and • 3 draft City DRA reports prepared |

| OUTPUT 3: Practical strategies on climate change adaptation are demonstrated at the local level | | |
|--|---|--|
| Knowledge product produced on adaptation strategies of communities | Finalized the terms of engagement with the Climate Change Office of the Climate Change Commission as an RP and an inception report containing detailed workplan and approach for the documentation of adaptation strategies has been completed. Stocktaking of climate change adaptation strategies commenced. This KM product will likewise be designed as an advocacy material. This can be used by LGUs and communities as reference in coming up with their adaptation strategies. | |

| OUTPUT 4: Policy/programme instruments for enhanced multi-stakeholder cooperation to address climate change developed | |
|---|--|
| 7.National Climate Change Action Plan completed and adopted | The National Climate Change Action Plan (NCCAP) has been approved by the President on Nov. 22, 2011. |

| OUTPUT 5: Mechanisms for multi-stakeholder cooperation on climate change at national and local levels strengthened | |
|--|--|
| 8.Multistakeholder coordination mechanism at national and sub-national levels identified | Activities for this Output have been deferred to 2013. |

| OUTPUT 6: Project Mana | agement Support and Monitoring |
|--|--|
| 9. Regular Meetings of working groups and Project Board | The Project convened the Project Board on February 16, 2012 (4 th Meeting of the PB since the start of implementation). The Project Board approved the extension of the Project until December 2013 to allow for: a) review, revision and pilot testing of integrated disaster and climate risk assessment methodology, and b) completion of the 3 major outputs, i.e. Supplemental Guidelines on Integrating DRR/CCA in Subnational Development and Physical Framework Planning, Vulnerability Assessment Reports, and 80 DRR/CCA enhanced Provincial Development and Physical Framework Plans (PDPFPs) An Administrative Officer for the Project was hired. |
| 10. Conduct of the midterm review and assessment of the Project | As an integral feature of the Project's monitoring and evaluation framework, an external evaluator was engaged in May 2012 to conduct the midterm review and assessment. The evaluator conducted document reviews, key informant interviews and focused group discussions in selected regions. The evaluation report was submitted in December 2012. |

Photo Documentation:





Disaster Risk Reduction-Vulnerability Assessment Workshop – Batch 1 Bayview Park Hotel, Manila March 19-24, 2012





Disaster Risk Reduction-Vulnerability Assessment Workshop – Batch 2 Astoria Plaza, Ortigas Center, Pasig City April 16-20, 2012



Disaster Risk Reduction-Vulnerability Assessment Workshop – Batch 3 BSA Twin Towers Condominium-Hotel, Ortigas Center, Pasig City May 07 -11, 2012



Hazard Assessment Workshop: Profiling of Hydrometeorological Hazards, Batch 1 Astoria Plaza, Ortigas Center, Pasig City November 4-10, 2012





Hazard Assessment Workshop: Profiling of Hydrometeorological Hazards, Batch 2 Eastwood Richmonde Hotel, Bagumbayan, Quezon City November 12-16, 2012



Expert's Group Meeting on the Handbook on establishing, managing and maintaining Climate Change and Disaster Risk Information System for Planning (CRISP) Oakwood Premier Joy Nostalg Center, Ortigas Center, Pasig City October 24, 2012

2.2. Project Financial Delivery

The 2012 annual work plan is provided in **Annex 1**, while the annual financial delivery of the project is provided in Annex 2. Total resources received from the AusAID is **Aus\$ 3,397,077** (US\$3,278,704), representing the full committed amount. This includes an additional contribution of **Aus\$ 428,000** for the delivery of "a rationalized policy environment for integrated DRR and CCA mainstreaming into national and local planning and decision-making processes in the Philippines," as provided for under the signed Amendment to the AusAID-UNDP Cost-Sharing Agreement dated 18 February 2011; as well as the second additional contribution of **Aus\$ 469,077** to support the reconstruction planning in Northern Mindanao through the NEDA Region X in the aftermath of Typhoon Sendong or Washi, as provided for under the signed Amendment dated 01 August 2012.

However, the NEDA-RDCS as the IP elected to return the additional contributions totaling **Aus\$ 897,077**, which was approved by the Project Board and agreed to by AusAID through the signed Amendment dated 11 February 2013. This was transferred to the UNDP-administered Project Climate Twin Phoenix that is being implemented by the Climate Change Commission and also receiving support from AusAID, toward the provision of support for the development of supplemental guidelines for the mainstreaming of DRR and CCA into the Comprehensive Land Use Plans; as well as to continue the support to Mindanao for reconstruction planning; and other associated and supporting activities. The full committed amount from AusAID for this Project with NEDA-RDCS therefore, stands at **Aus\$ 2,500,000** (**USD 2.35 Million**).

The NZAP has infused an additional contribution amounting to **US\$ 1,112,775** through a Cost-Sharing Agreement with UNDP dated 16 June 2011 to assess the vulnerabilities of 30 more Philippine provinces

and "disaster/climate proof" development and land use plans. These contributions from AusAID and NZAP bring the total Project Budget to **USD 3.46 Million**.

Approved budget for the period January – December 2012 is **US\$ 473,814.86**, which comprises budgetary support received from AusAID and NZAP. The total expenditure for 2012 is **US\$ 441,764.06**³ or **93.2%** of the total annual budget. The breakdown of expenditures per Activity, expressed as a percentage of the total 2012 budget, is as follows:



Annex 2, provides the summary of expenditures to date.

3. IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES AND MITIGATING MEASURES

For the reporting period, the project encountered implementation issues, slightly delaying attainment of some project deliverables. Many of these are technical issues as detailed in the matrix. In general, the issues can be summarized as:

- 1. The NEDA-RDCS as the IP cited the following as a medium level risk: work requirement of the Project competing with the regular work of the provincial core team. To address this, assistance from project consultants and NEDA staff was provided during workshops to ensure that draft reports would have been prepared before the end of the workshop.
- 2. The Project endeavored to undertake the refinement of the integrated DRR and CCA framework and methodology, particularly on generating the return periods of hydro-meteorological events. Based on the caucus, meetings and results of the external review of the method, work under the Project agreed to focus on disaster risks that were meteorologically and hydro-meteorologically induced, and not pursue the probabilistic approach with the estimation of return periods, but still taking into account the historical events and existing susceptibility maps in the DR consequence analyses of provinces, which were deemed sufficient to determine the hazard prone areas, in conjunction with the vulnerability analyses based on the risk-scoring index. The next iteration to further refine the methodology, taking into account the climate projections, will be undertaken by other Projects.
- 3. The departure of NEDA-RDCS staff and project personnel affected the implementation of the Project and led to delays in the delivery of outputs. The Project opted to engage national consultants to

³ 2012 Expenditures of the Project have been verified through UNDP's independent audit process, as performed by the Philippine Government's Commission on Audit.

assist the NEDA-RDCS in the completion of the expected deliverables. The NEDA-RDCS likewise elected to return the fund resources back to UNDP for transfer to another Project. **Annex 3** provides the status of implementation toward the delivery of the expected outputs of the Project and the completion of remaining activities for 2013.

The Project also underwent an independent midterm evaluation in 2012, which offered the following recommendations:

- 1. The communications plan should take into account the resources that can strategically push the mainstreaming agenda. While the essential messages are brought to different stakeholders and project outputs are disseminated, the means to do these should consider the comparative advantage of well thought-out approaches.
- 2. The Project Team must ensure that all deliverables such as the guide, reference manuals, riskenhanced plans and others are communicated well to their specific target groups and local stakeholders.
- 3. Present the results of the project through audio-visual presentation about the country's experience in mainstreaming focusing on the progress that the project achieved in the regions.
- 4. The Project Team has rightful claim over the output derived or produced from the project including hazard maps especially those in digital form. Therefore, all users of the output are enjoined to refer to the source and give due credit to the Project.
- 5. NEDA-RDCS and NROs should encourage trained provincial planners and staff through its continued support to provincial LGUs. NEDA should advocate that provincial governments continue the work began and train staff members who have not been trained yet, seeking renewed commitment from LCEs to ensure sustainable human resource for GIS, especially.
- 6. NEDA must continue not only its efforts to backstop the technical needs of the sub-national planners as they refine the DRVA and plans, but also strategically act to address what LCE's and decision makers might need.
- Design and implement writeshops for the enhancement of PDPFP, e.g. in different economic sectors (livelihood, business, commercial) /agriculture/technology that could be adopted by the province. This requires a session wherein planners work together with the consultant on the integration of the comments received.
- 8. Consider how transboundary (interprovincial) hazards might be dealt with in the context of contiguous LGUs and/or watershed and implications on emergency preparedness and intergovernmental arrangements.
- 9. Find ways and means to contribute to a DRR/CCA platform, such as the donor forum or other discussion fora to discuss and seek solutions, synchronize efforts, reinforce gains, increase efficiency and effectiveness.
- 10. Provide practical advice on funding opportunities and options to fund the needs of offices of provincial and municipal core team members.
- 11. Package the experience of Region 2 concerning its spatial information system so that it can serve as a practice guide for data and map sourcing, and institutional arrangements.
- 12. As GIS being part and parcel of the approach, provide practical suggestion on the use of alternative GIS software including GIS freeware such as Quantum.
- 13. Explore the utility of the coordinative set ups such as the Regional Land Use Committee and the Inter-Agency Task Force on Geographic Information in promoting dialogue on the management and utilization of geographic information for future operation of information systems.

- 14. Strengthen existing mechanisms among government agencies so that HLURB, DILG, MGB, and provincial offices of other agencies are strengthened.
- 15. The Project should find ways and means to promote the project and make its concerns known to stakeholders in the academe and education.

The midterm evaluation report also states that the project, to a certain extent, brings to the fore, the need for strategic alignments so that the future demand in DRR/CCA, specifically in the knowledge base related to mainstreaming, can be met. Empowerment of the subnational units is taking place. This however needs a shepherding environment or guidance which needs to be provided by national agencies and mechanisms. If DRR/CCA is to be whole-of-government, then this will require a systemic change, a holistic outlook to resource allocation and risk-enhanced investments. Therefore, education, S&T, labor, transportation, infrastructure and utilities, and other sectors need to move together in synch.

These recommendations were considered by NEDA-RDCS and specific comments and status of actions taken were provided in the Management Response Template, attached as **Annex 4**.

4. EMERGING LESSONS

The programmatic intervention on DRR and CCA integration is firmly rooted in a scientific and replicable approach which enables the target local government unit beneficiaries to understand and undertake the necessary analysis and choose low cost response measures which can be woven seamlessly into existing physical/development plans, programmes & regulatory processes. Through this Project, said approach/programme has been taken up by the national government, enshrined in such policy issuances/instruments as Presidential Administrative Order No.1, series of 2010, "Directing the local government units, particularly provinces to adopt and use in their planning activities, the guidelines on mainstreaming disaster risk reduction in sub-national development and land use planning/physical planning"⁴. Both the NDRRM Plan and the National Climate Change Action Plan also reflect best practices and strong principles, a manifestation of sound intellectual capacities of stakeholders and high level of political commitment of the current government administration.

The most important is the growing body of evidence that this approach is preventing disasters in the areas where they have been applied, empowering the communities and their LGU leaders to use the scientific data through practical tools like early warning systems and operational contingency plans. In Mindanao, only 1 casualty in the city of Cagayan de Oro and zero casualties in Iligan City when super typhoon Pablo (Bopha) hit Mindanao in December 2012 compared to reported casualties of 674 and 490, respectively in the previous year due to Typhoon Sendong (Washi)⁵. Meanwhile, Surigao del Sur recorded only 5 casualties despite being badly-hit by TS Pablo, and 1 casualty compared to Cagayan de Oro and Iligan City (more than 1000 deaths combined) due to the passage of TS Sendong in December 2011. It must be noted that under the UNDP-administered, AusAID supported READY Project, multihazard maps for Surigao del Sur were produced, and in 2005, CBFEWS was established. People in the area remembered the lessons during the flood drills that were conducted and the Surigao LGUs immediately convened the local DRRMC in anticipation of TS Sendong and Pablo.

⁴ http://www.gov.ph/2010/09/17/administrative-order-no-1/

⁵ NDRRMC situation reports on Sendong and Pablo

Sendong and Pablo are two of the deadliest tropical cyclones to have hit Mindanao since 1947. It caught the Mindanaoans by surprise, considering that the TCs that made landfall in Mindanao from 1948 to 2011 numbered only 38. Unfortunately, the province of Compostela Valley in Region XI, incurred the highest number of lives lost at 651 and total damages at PhP 27.4 billion, followed by the adjacent province of Davao Oriental with 537 casualties and PhP 12.8 billion in total damages⁶ due to TS Pablo. While these 2 provinces produced draft DR/VAs under the assistance of this Project, they have not yet factored the initial results of the assessment into their development planning processes. From the experience of Surigao del Sur, Cagayan de Oro and Iligan Cities with these two recent disaster events, it is apparent that empirical data, while still at an initial stage, are critical inputs to ensure the effectiveness of DRR measures against the risks from natural hazards. The LGUs of vulnerable areas must take up the DR/VA results, and immediately feed these into local planning, programming and decision-making processes, no matter how crude or tentative the assessments may still be. An "assembly line" system of discharging the tasks, from assessment to undertaking mitigation actions under the over-all framework of disaster/climate risk management is necessary to ensure that all needs are covered and efforts do not duplicate but enhance and complement each other.

⁶ PDNA for Compostela Valley, accessed at

http://philippines.humanitarianresponse.info/system/files/documents/files/PDNA%20ComVal%20as%20of %205%20April%202013.pdf

ANNEX 1: 2012 ANNUAL WORKPLAN



Year: 2012

| Output | Key Activities | Timefr | ame | Responsible Party | | | Planned Budget | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------|----------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | (align) | (ma | 2 | :Passidi | Celeber | Price Price | And a state of the second |
| ana na | 1 february Role - State | | | | | | Cold A Research and an Articles | |
| | | | - | G | | ANDRASE | TANKA LOOM DOWNLOW | A STATE |
| | | i i | | Pril Malazza Parazata and Deve | 1 0 0 0 0 0 V 5 | Access23 | Actes Transfer Weitersprozeit.Outlo | |
| | | | | Philippianel Research and Dece | 1 100000 | LASSAND . | | 0/2020 |
| | е | | | Pril-Moleccui L'energiere anal Deve | 100010 | ADARS | 14200 Asses Visind-Partiend Device | 1000 |
| | Chaptering Carriers | | 9 | Protector of Economic and Economic | 100.000 | Alice PERCH | Yahan degalen | 5,898.7 |
| | | | | Fift Halional Economic and Dean | 50669 | AceAlty: | 22800 Internation Technology Equipm | 25,720.0 |
| | | | 10- I | Pist-Honoral Economic and Dovo | 33660 : | Austic | 716CO: Travel | 5,641.6 |
| | | | 1 | Phil-Maticanal Economics and Davas | 80900 | -ABRAUX | 74500 Mintakaranan Repandan | 18,649,4 |
| e, | | | | a subdemmed incompany paral Deser | 333826 | W234 | A second second second second second | 10.680 |
| | | | | Part of the second second second second | 0,000 | Anna an | Electric Lengel CourseCourses | NORM |
| 2 | | | J j | Le de la serie de la companya de la | | 2 | | |
| | | e / | | 1. State of Loop and Cone | | Name of Contract o | | AMA . |
| | | | | Part Andreed Processie and Dear | | (Demetric) | | Andread (|
| l | | | | Provide Data and Data | | 1. CONTRACTOR | | |
| l | | | | Provide States and States and States and | 1922-1925 | 1. 19228 | | |
| = | <u> </u> | | | Internet concerning Deve | 000000 | Nicht | 1957 M. Branning Carles Strand Carles | 1201 |
| ت ۵ | 2 Central and Placetal Con | ¢) | [| PRURational Economic and Denni | | - Antonio I | 2020 Teldas Richardsond Carls | 98,590,5 |
| | 6-Carologian and an and a state | | | PERMIT | 1000000 | AMARCO | Torfel." Tribleba, Marshalana and Longhe | an a |
| | 6-Project Mgi Stopport & film | | | P18 Mathemal Florence des much Dooren | (SUSSERIES) | (Carterio) | 7280 66966 | A.\$72.5 |
| | | | | Probabline Exception and Deve | 00000 | AresActo | | - Nikaci |
| , | | | | PHAlefond Demonstration | 30900 | Analysis | Tradio Chestorio A Auto Great Redu | 765 |
| 1 | | | | Per-Rodertal Managela and Dave | allowig - | - Anna an | | Contract Contract |
| 's | | | | | 12000 | 200000000000 | | |
| | V | | - | The second because of the second | | | () Add C. Generating Loss Long - Spiller | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 1000 | Auge 20 | | 1000 |
| TO IM. | | | Nexter of the second | | 1. C. | | | |

ANNEX 2: SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE (FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE)

| | | Previous | Years' Expenditur | es (USD) | 2012 Approved | Jan-Dec 2012 | Component % of Total 2012 | Total Approved | Total Expenditure |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Activity | Description | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | Budget (USD) | Expenditures (USD) | Expenditure Per Approved Budget | Budget (USD) | to Date (USD) |
| Outcome 1 | Local level land use and physical plann | ing and decision | -making processe | s reflect CCA/DRF | R priorities in an inte | egrated manner | | | |
| Total Gain/ | Loss on Cost Sharing | (623.58) | (6,824.20) | (4,185.36) | | (3,034.09) | | | (14,667.23 |
| | Enhance LGU & Stakeholders | | | | | | | | |
| ACTIVITY1 | awareness, understanding and competence on climate change | 92,419.92 | 224,017.78 | 9,075.25 | 39,911.47 | 10,063.50 | 25.2% | 500,361.00 | 335,576.4 |
| ACTIVITY2 | Incorporate CCA/DRR concerns in the land use and physical framework plans | 23,252.89 | 177,331.96 | 395,950.62 | 240.95 | 229,382.48 | 951 99% | 887,146.57 | 825,917.95 |
| | NZAP contribution | | | | 322,767.30 | 125,579.49 | 38. 9% | 1,007,810.87 | 125,579.48 |
| ACTIVITY3 | Demonstrate Practical strategies for climate change adaptation/DRR | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 48,543.69 | 24,503.80 | 50.5% | 384,444.00 | 24,503.80 |
| Total for Ou | utcome 1 | 115,049.23 | 394,525.54 | 400,840.51 | 411,463.41 | 386,495.18 | 93.9% | 2,779,762.44 | 1,296,910.40 |
| | Policy/program instruments for | | | | | | | • | |
| ACTIVITY4 | enhanced multi-stakeholder | 0.00 | 93,705.29 | 19,574.85 | 0. 00 | 23,239.60 | 5.3% | 162,900.00 | 136,519.74 |
| ACTIVITY4 ACTIVITY5 | enhanced multi-stakeholder cooperation on climate change Mechanisms for multi-stakeholder cooperation on climate change at national and local levels strengthened. | 0.00 | 93,705.29 0.00 | 19,574.85 0.00 | 0.00 | 23,239.60 1,309.33 | 5.3% 0.3% | 1 62,900.00 59,730.00 | |
| ACTIVITY5 | cooperation on climate change Mechanisms for multi-stakeholder cooperation on climate change at national and local levels | | | | | | 0.3% | ` | 1,309.33 |
| ACTIVITY5 | cooperation on climate change Mechanisms for multi-stakeholder cooperation on climate change at national and local levels strengthened. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,309.33 | 0.3% | 59,730.00 | 1,309.33 |
| ACTIVITY5 | cooperation on climate change Mechanisms for multi-stakeholder cooperation on climate change at national and local levels strengthened. Project Management NZAP contribution | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,309.33 30,719.95 | 0.3% 73.1% 0.0% | 59,730.00 203,950.00 | 1,309.33 |
| ACTIVITY5 ACTIVITY6 | cooperation on climate change Mechanisms for multi-stakeholder cooperation on climate change at national and local levels strengthened. Project Management NZAP contribution stoome 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 49,242.88 | 0.00 28,149.02 | 0.00 42,033.47 <i>20,317.98</i> | 1,309.33 30,719.95 <i>0.00</i> | 0.3% 73.1% 0.0% | 59,730.00 203,950.00 <i>32,165.77</i> | 1,309.33 122,311.26 260,140.33 |
| ACTIVITY5 ACTIVITY6 Total for Ou | cooperation on climate change Mechanisms for multi-stakeholder cooperation on climate change at national and local levels strengthened. Project Management NZAP contribution stoome 2 | 0.00 14,199.41 14,199.41 | 0.00 49,242.88 142,948.17 | 0.00 28,149.02 47,723.87 | 0.00 42,033.47 <i>20,317.98</i> | 1,309.33 30,719.95 <i>0.00</i> 55,268.88 | 0.3% 73.1% 0.0% | 59,730.00 203,950.00 <i>32,165.77</i> 458,745.77 | 1,309.33 122,311.26 260,140.33 159,772.21 |
| ACTIVITY5 ACTIVITY6 Total for Qu UNDP GMS | cooperation on climate change Mechanisms for multi-stakeholder cooperation on climate change at national and local levels strengthened. Project Management <i>NZAP contribution</i> utcome 2 6 (7%) | 0.00 14,199.41 14,199.41 | 0.00 49,242.88 142,948.17 | 0.00 28,149.02 47,723.87 69,968.51 | 0.00 42,033.47 <i>20,317.98</i> | 1,309.33 30,719.95 <i>0.00</i> 55,268.88 0.00 | 0.3% 73.1% 0.0% | 59,730.00 203,950.00 <i>32,165.77</i> 458,745.77 153,897.21 | 1,309.33 122,311.26 260,140.33 159,772.21 |
| ACTIVITY5 ACTIVITY6 Total for Ou UNDP GMS Grand Tota | cooperation on climate change Mechanisms for multi-stakeholder cooperation on climate change at national and local levels strengthened. Project Management NZAP contribution utcome 2 6 (7%) NZAP contribution | 0.00 14,199.41 14,199.41 30,247.25 | 0.00 49,242.88 142,948.17 59,556.45 | 0.00 28,149.02 47,723.87 69,968.51 <i>72,798.36</i> | 0.00 42,033.47 <i>20,317.98</i> 62,351.45 | 1,309.33 30,719.95 <i>0.00</i> 55,268.88 0.00 <i>0.00</i> | 0.3% 73.1% 0.0% 88.6% | 59,730.00 203,950.00 <i>32,165.77</i> 458,745.77 153,897.21 <i>72,798.36</i> | 1,309.33 122,311.26 260,140.33 159,772.21 72,798.36 1,789,621.36 |

* reflects original AUD2.5 Million support (as received in USD equivalent); excludes two succeeding contributions of A\$428,000 and A\$469,077, which was transferred to the AusAIDsupported UNDP administered Project Climate Twin Phoenix per signed Amendment No.3 to the CSA dated 11 February 2013

ANNEX 3: PROJECT STATUS AND REMAINING ACTIVITIES for 2013

| Output/ | Status/ Accomplishments | Remaining Activities | | Time | Frame | (2013) | | Remarks |
|---------------------------------|---|---|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|------------------------------|
| Deliverables | | | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | |
| - | wareness, understanding and competencies of loca | l governments and other stakeho | olders o | n Disa | ster Ris | k Redu | ction (I | ORR) and |
| Climate Change Adapt | | | 1 | | | | | 1 |
| Deliverable 1. | Status: Accomplished | | | | | | | |
| IEC and Advocacy | | | | | | | | |
| (Enhance awareness | Accomplishments: | a. Production and | х | Х | Х | х | | Despite |
| of 200 local | Conducted national and regional launchings | distribution of IEC | | | | | | accomplishing |
| executives and | attended by 1,092 participants from | materials | | | | | | targets, the |
| functionaries, 50 | government agencies, local government | b. Orientation of new set of | | Х | Х | | | Project |
| local planners, 50 | units (LGUs) and the private sector | LCEs/RDC members | | | | | | continues to |
| academicians, 50 | Held briefings for Regional Development | - Deuticipation in valated | v | v | v | V | | pursue IEC |
| community leaders from 10 pilot | Councils (RDCs) and provinces | c. Participation in related meetings, conferences and | Х | Х | Х | Х | | activities as recommended |
| regions and | Participated in exhibits, conference, and | workshops | | | | | | by the Project |
| provinces) | workshops organized by other agencies | d. Preparation and packaging | х | х | х | х | | Board and |
| provinces | Produced rregional audio-visual materials and newsletters | of case studies for IEC | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | under the |
| | Produced Communication Advocacy Plan, | | | | | | | mid-term |
| | newsletters, Project Primer, Project logo and | | | | | | | review |
| | slogan | | | | | | | conducted. |
| | Served as resource person in various fora i.e. | | | | | | | |
| | MMDA and HLURB-NCR, UP PLANADES / | | | | | | | |
| | BRACE Project, Bangladesh Study Visit, | | | | | | | |
| | South-South Colombia Cooperation, Multi- | | | | | | | |
| | stakeholder consultation to Enhance the | | | | | | | |
| | DRR /CCA content of the Philippine | | | | | | | |
| | Development Plan 2011-2016, Australian | | | | | | | |
| | Scoping Mission, Integrated Rural Reform, | | | | | | | |
| | ISDR Global Assessment Report | | | | | | | |
| | Prepared Terms of Reference (TOR) for the | | | | | | | |
| | updating of the Project's AVP and hiring of | | | | | | | |
| | Case Study Writer for the preparation of | | | | | | | |
| | case study reports to be used as IEC | | | | | | | |
| | materials | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Output/ | Status/ Accomplishments | Remaining Activities | | Time | Frame | (2013) | | Remarks |
|---|--|--|-----|------|-------|--------|-----|--|
| Deliverables | | _ | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | |
| Deliverable 2. Capacity Development (Increase technical competencies of 50 local planners, 50 academicians on DRR and CCA) | Status: Accomplished Accomplishments: <i>Regional, provincial</i> Training of Trainers (ToT) on the application of GIS on mainstreaming DRR and CCA on land use/physical plans (partnership with UP-TCAGP) - 155 participants) <i>Aaverage increase of 14.50% competence</i> <i>based on post-tests</i> Orientation workshops on Climate Change: Sectoral Impact Assessment and Planning (partnership with UP PLANADES) – 346 participants <i>Average increase of 11.57% competence</i> <i>based on post-tests</i> Trainings and workshops on GIS, risk assessment, preparation of DRR/CCA- enhanced Provincial Development and Physical Framework Plans (PDPFPs) and Comprehensive Land Use Plans (CLUPs) <i>National</i> Study Mission in Australia Participation in Symposium on New Technologies for Urban Safety of Mega Cities in Asia held in Kobe, Japan (NEDA, HLURB, Provincial Planning and Development Coordinator of Surigao del Norte) Participation of RDCS staffs in workshops/conferences | a. Enhance knowledge of project partners on emerging issues and trends on DRR/CCA | X | X | X | X | | Capacity- building is also part of other deliverables such as the preparation of DRR/CCA- enhanced PDPFPs and CLUPs |

| Output/ | Status/ Accomplishments | Remaining Activities | | Time | Frame | (2013) | | Remarks |
|---|---|---|--------|---------|----------|--------|-----|--|
| Deliverables | | | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | |
| Output 2: DRR/CCA C | oncerns are incorporated in the land use and devel | opment plans of the target provin | nces/m | unicipa | lities / | cities | r | |
| Deliverable 3. Supplemental Guidelines on Mainstreaming DRR and CCA in Subnational Development and Land Use/Physical Planning | Status: Ongoing Accomplishments: Prepared the following: technical paper on rain-induced landslide, integrated framework for DRR/CCA, toolkit/ user manual Conducted consultation-workshop with PHIVOLCS on REDAS for NROs and RDCS Conducted consultation-workshop with PAGASA on climate scenarios (return periods, observed values, climate projection) Conducted review of initial integrated disaster and climate change risk assessment method | a. Preparation of the draft Supplemental Guidelines b. Conduct of EGM on draft Supplemental Guidelines c. Finalization of the Supplemental Guidelines | x | x x | x | x | | |
| Deliverable 4. DRR/CCA-enhanced PDPFPs of 77 provinces | Status: Ongoing Accomplishments: For AusAID-assisted Provinces (50): Conducted mapshops and hazard assessment workshops which produced 50 hazard profiles Conducted orientation-workshops on sectoral VA tools and DRA-VA workshops which produced 49 provincial VA reports (co-financed by MDGF) Conducted workshop on Mainstreaming DRR/VA (using a simplified method) into the PDPFPs which produced 11 DRR/CCA- enhanced PDPFPs | For AusAID-assisted Provinces: a. Conduct of PDPFP enhancement trainings and workshops | x | x | x | | | 3 PDPFPs are targeted under NRO 10's Project on Enhancing Capacities on DRRM/CCA |

| Output/ | Status/ Accomplishments | Remaining Activities | | Time | Frame | (2013) | | Remarks |
|--|---|---|-----|------|-------|--------|-----|---------|
| Deliverables | | _ | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | |
| | For NZAP-assisted provinces (27): Conducted workshops for hydrometeorological hazard assessment which produced 26 provincial hazard profiles Conducted Rapid Earthquake Damage Assessment Software (REDAS) Training for 15 provinces which produced provincial geologic hazard maps Conducted PDPFP preparation workshop for ARMM provinces | For NZAP-assisted Provinces: a. Conduct of vulnerability assessment and PDPFP enhancement trainings and workshops | | x | x | | | |
| Deliverable 5. Handbook on Establishing, Managing and Maintaining Disaster and Climate Risk Information Support System for Planning (CRISP) | Status: Ongoing Accomplishments: Drafted DRR and CCA Information Support System Framework Pilot-tested the system in Region 2, building on the Regional GIS Network developed in Region 2 Installed server and software in NRO 2 (system administrator) and DENR 2 (remote server) Conducted Experts' Group Meeting (EGM) on the Handbook Revised the draft Handbook based on the comments from the EGM and validation by NRO 2 | a. Finalization of the Handbook | x | | | | | |
| Deliverable 6. Reference Manual on Mainstreaming DRR/CCA in Comprehensive Land Use Plans | Status: Ongoing Accomplishments: Prepared draft framework integrating DRR/CCA in CLUPs Prepared draft Reference Manual | a. Finalization of the Reference Manual | x | | | | | |

| Output/ | Status/ Accomplishments | Remaining Activities | | Time | Frame | (2013) | | Remarks |
|--|--|--|--------|------|-------|--------|-----|---------|
| Deliverables | | | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | |
| | Tested draft method in the 3 municipalities and 1 city in Surigao del Norte (Claver, Gigaquit, Bacuag and Surigao City or ClaGiBaS) Provided assistance to HLURB-Central Office for their Planners' Forum on the updating of the CLUP guidelines Conducted EGM on the Reference Manual Revised Reference Manual taking into account comments during the EGM and CSIRO review Conducted independent review of the draft Reference Manual Revised Manual based on the suggestions/comments from the independent review | | | | | | | |
| Deliverable 7. Four DRR/CCA-enhanced CLUPs | Status: Ongoing Accomplishments: MOA was made with HLURB-Central Office, HLURB-Northern Mindanao and Surigao del Norte Conducted orientation-training on the formulation and implementation of CLUP Conducted workshops on visioning and formulation of socio-economic profile for the 4 pilot LGUs of Surigao del Norte (ClaGiBaS) Conducted flood study in Surigao City Conducted field visit/one-on-one mentoring sessions with pilot LGUs Provided technical assistance in formulation of the draft CLUPs | a. Finalization of the draft DRR/CCA-enhanced CLUPs b. Exit conference with pilot LGUs and partners | x x | | | | | |

| Output/ | Status/ Accomplishments | Remaining Activities | | Time | Frame | (2013) | | Remarks |
|--|---|---|-----|------|-------|--------|-----|---------|
| Deliverables | | - | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | |
| Deliverable 8. Framework and Process for Mainstreaming DRR/CCA in Local Investment Programming (secondary entry points) Deliverable 9. Support provided in the updating of the National Framework for Physical Planning (NFPP) | Status: Ongoing Accomplishments: Held consultation with selected NROs on on possible ways for incorporating DRR and CCA in the project cycle Researched on existing framework and approach in mainstreaming DRR in the project development cycle Status: Accomplished Accomplishments: Prepared preparatory study on the current NFPP which produced the following: Recommendation on the reformulation of the NFPP into a National Physical | a. Inclusion of Mainstreaming DRR/CCA in Local Investment Programming module in PDPFP enhancement workshops | X | X | x | | | |
| Deliverable 10. Support to Region X's Project on Enhancing Capacities on DRRM/CCA (NZAP funded) | Framework Plan (NPFP) Recommendation on how to mainstream DRR/CCA in the NPFP Gathered planning and DRR-related data and maps as inputs to the NPFP updating Status: Ongoing Accomplishments: Conducted workshops on the analysis of the planning environment for DRR/CCA-enhanced RPFP preparation including initial integration of the regional DRA in the RPFP Conducted preparatory activities for DRR/CCA-enhanced PDPFPs formulation including data build up and formulation of | a. Finalization of the DRR/CCA- enhanced RPFP, PDPFPs and CLUPs | x | x | x | | | |
| | the PDPFPs Prepared the initial draft of the <i>DRR/CCA-enhanced CLUPs</i> of Cagayan de Oro, Iligan and Valencia | | | | | | | |

| Output/ | Status/ Accomplishments | Remaining Activities | | Time | Frame | (2013) | | Remarks |
|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------|---------|-------|--------|-----|---------|
| Deliverables | | - | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | |
| Output 3: Practical St | rategies for climate change adaptation/DRR are dem | nonstrated at the sub-national/lo | ocal lev | els | | | | |
| Deliverable 11. | Status: Ongoing | | | | | | | |
| Documentation of | | | | | | | | |
| DRR/CCA strategies | Accomplishments: | a. Assessment, selection | Х | Х | Х | | | |
| for communities (in | MOA was executed between NEDA-RDCS | and documentation of | | | | | | |
| partnership with | and CCC-CCO. | best practices on CCA | | | | | | |
| CCO) | Identified possible case study sites following | strategies | | | | | | |
| | the conduct of two round table discussions | b. Preparation of | Х | Х | Х | Х | | |
| | Formulated Criteria in Assessing Practical | knowledge product | | | | | | |
| | CCA-DRR Practices | | | | | | | |
| Output 4: Policy/Prog | ramme instruments for enhanced multi-stakeholder | cooperation to address climate | change | e adapt | ation | | | |
| Deliverable 12. | Status: Accomplished | | | | | | | |
| National Climate | | | | | | | | |
| Change Action Plan | Accomplishment: | | | | | | | |
| (NCCAP) | The Project provided technical and financial | | | | | | | |
| | support for the activities of the NCCAP | | | | | | | |
| | preparation | | | | | | | |
| Output 5: Mechanism | o for multi-stakeholder cooperation on climate chang | ge at national and local levels str | engthe | ned | | | | |
| Deliverable 13. | Status: Ongoing | | | | | | | |
| Multi-Stakeholder | | a. Conduct of policy study | Х | Х | | | | |
| Coordination | Accomplishment: | for multi-stakeholder | | | | | | |
| Mechanism | Prepared MOA with CCO for Output 5 | mechanisms | | | | | | |
| | | b. Conduct of validation | | Х | Х | | | |
| | | workshops | | | ., | | | |
| | | c. Pilot-testing of identified | | Х | Х | Х | | |
| <u> </u> | | mechanism option | | | | | | |
| Output 6: Project Mar | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | |
| Support to | Status: Ongoing | | | | | v | | |
| operations and | Accomplishment: | a. Project Board Meeting | | | | Х | | |
| management | Conducted independent mid-term review | b. Project Completion | | | | | v | |
| | Provided support in Project Board and ports and provided and | Report | | | | | Х | |
| | partners' meetings | | | | | | | |

ANNEX 4: MANAGEMENT RESPONSE TO THE EXTERNAL MIDTERM EVALUATION RESULTS

Evaluation Recommendation or Issue 1. The communication plan should take into account the resources that can strategically push the mainstreaming agenda. While the essential messages are brought to different stakeholders and project outputs are disseminated, the means to do these should consider the comparative advantage of well thought-out approaches.

| Manager | nent Response: | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Key Action(s) | Time Frame | Responsible | Trac | king |
| | | Unit(s) | Status | Comments |
| 1.1 The Project has utilized various media in its information drive including | 2013 | NEDA RDCS | Continuing | |
| setting exhibits in DRR/CCA- related events, newsletters and audio | | | | |
| visual presentations. | | | | |
| 1.2 Project staffs participate in relevant meetings, fora and events, and | 2013 | NEDA RDCS | Continuing | |
| share the Project's DRR/CCA mainstreaming initiatives | | | | |
| Evaluation Recommendation or Issue 2: Present the results of the project t | hrough audio-visual | means. Towards th | ne end of the project, | an audio-visual |
| presentation showing the progress that the project achieved may be prepa | red for viewing in th | e regions. | | |
| Manager | nent Response: | | | |
| Key Action(s) | Time Frame | Responsible | Trac | king |
| | | Unit(s) | Status | Comments |
| 2.1. The updating of the project's audio-visual presentation was included in | 2013 | NEDA RDCS | Initiated | |
| the 2013 AWP which will be used in IEC activities in the regions | | | | |
| Evaluation Recommendation or Issue 3: The Project Team has rightful clair | n over the output de | erived or produced | from the project inclu | ding hazard maps |
| especially those in digital form. Therefore, all users of the output are enjoin | ned to refer to the so | ource and give due | credit to the Project. | |
| Manager | nent Response: | | | |
| Key Action(s) | Time Frame | Responsible | Trac | king |
| | | Unit(s) | Status | Comments |
| 3.1 Consultants are advised to use proper citation in the use project- | 2013 | NEDA RDCS | Continuing | |
| generated outputs/data | | | | |

Evaluation Recommendation or Issue 4: As NEDA-RDCS, together with its partners, conducts the rest of the project in terms of delivering Output 2 and relevant actions; it needs to backstop the technical needs of the sub-national planners as they refine the DRVA and plans. A feedback mechanism that could function outside the project should be encouraged at this stage.

| Manager | nent Response: | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| Key Action(s) | Time Frame | Responsible Unit(s) | Tra | cking |
| | | | Status | Comments |
| 4.1 Aside from training conducted by NEDA RDCS, NROs conduct regional workshop to assist provincial planners in the preparation of provincial DR/VA reports | 2013 | NEDA RDCS/NROs | Continuing | |
| 4.2. The preparation of DRR/CCA-enhanced PDPFPs has been included as agenda in the regular RLUC/RDC Committee meetings. | RDC Committee/ RLUC meetings are part of regular NRO activities | NEDA RDCS/NROs | Continuing | |
| 4.3 Training of Rapid Earthquake Damage Assessment Software was conducted in partnership with PhiVolcs Evaluation Recommendation or Issue 5: Technical backstopping has prove should not diminish its efforts but instead strategically act to address what | - | - | Completed | s. Therefore, NEDA |
| | nent Response: | | | |
| Key Action(s) | Time Frame | Responsible Unit(s) | Trae | cking |
| | | | Status | Comments |
| 5.1 As part of its mandate, NEDA RDCS and NROs provide technical assistance to provinces in planning activities | Provision of technical assistance to provinces on development planning is part of NEDA regular activities | NEDA RDCS/NROs | Continuing | |
| 5.2 A special session on PDPFP preparation was conducted for ARMM provinces | May 2013 | NEDA RDCS | Completed | |

Evaluation Recommendation or Issue 6: Design and implement writeshops for the enhancement of PDPFP, e.g. in different economic sectors (livelihood, business, commercial) /agriculture/technology) that could be adopted by the province. The writeshops need a session wherein planners work together with a facilitator/ consultant who will integrate the comments received and consolidate results.

| Mana | gement Response: | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------------|------------|----------|
| Key Action(s) | Time Frame | Responsible Unit(s) | Trac | king |
| | | | Status | Comments |
| 6.1. Sector and planning experts shall be tapped as resource persons in the conduct of DRR/CCA mainstreaming workshops to assist provinces in identifying development programs/projects ; 2013 AWP provides budget for sector experts to serve as resource persons during trainings/workshops | 2013 | NEDA RDCS | Continuing | |

Evaluation Recommendation or Issue 7: How transboundary hazards might be dealt with is not explicitly handled by the deliverables. Provinces do not yet coordinate with one another, particularly on investment activities which might affect their neighboring provinces and therefore plans should be interconnected.

| Management Response: | | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------------------|------------|----------|--|--|
| Key Action(s) | Time Frame | Responsible Unit(s) | Tracking | | | |
| | | | Status | Comments | | |
| 7.1 In most of the workshops, provinces have the opportunity to hear each other's presentations. The presentations include hazards and corresponding mitigation measures. This is where cooperation among provinces can be addressed. | 2013 | NEDA RDCS | Continuing | | | |
| 7.2 Part of NROs' role in the review of PDPFPs is to promote coordination and complementation of development strategies and programs among provinces | 2013 | NEDA RDCS/NROs | Continuing | | | |
| 7.3 Cooperation among provinces in addressing transboundary hazards are emphasized in risk assessment and mainstreaming workshops | 2013 | NEDA RDCS | Continuing | | | |

Evaluation Recommendation or Issue 8: In the light of other current DRR/CCA projects, ways on how to complement efforts better through information exchanges, joint forums, workshops, and meeting should be devised. Find ways and means to contribute to a platform to discuss and seek solutions, synchronize efforts, reinforce gains, increase efficiency and effectiveness. Management Response: **Key Action(s)** Responsible Tracking **Time Frame** Unit(s) Status Comments 8.1 NEDA-RDCS, including Project staffs, participate in meetings and Part of regular NEDA-RDCS Continuing discussions on DRR/CCA-related programs/projects activities of NEDA RDCS Evaluation Recommendation or Issue 9: Provide practical advice on funding opportunities and options to fund the needs of offices of provincial and municipal core team members. These needs include equipment (laptops) and software. Management Response: **Key Action(s) Time Frame** Responsible Tracking Unit(s) Status Comments 9.1. The Project has procured laptops for provincial planning offices to be 2013 NEDA RDCS Initiated used in PDPFP preparation 9.2. On the software, the Project has introduced Quantum GIS (open source software)to provinces Evaluation Recommendation or Issue 10: Package the experience of Region 2 concerning CRISP so that it can serve as a practical guide for data and map sourcing, and institutional arrangements. Management Response: Key Action(s) **Time Frame** Responsible Tracking Unit(s) Status Comments 10.1 The experience of Region 2 on CRISP will be covered in the Handbook 2013 NEDA RDCS Initiated for Establishing, Managing and Maintaining Disaster/Climate Risk data system

| Evaluation Recommendation or Issue 11: As GIS is part and parcel of the a use of alternative GIS software including GIS freeware such as Quantum. | pproach; the Projec | ct should provide p | practical suggestions | and guidance on the |
|--|--|------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | gement Response: | | | |
| Key Action(s) | Time Frame | Responsible Unit(s) | Tracking | |
| | | | Status | Comments |
| 11.1. For the last two batches trained on hazard profiling, the provincial participants were taught how to use Quantum GIS to prepare their hazard maps | October and November 2012 | NEDA RDCS | Completed | |
| Evaluation Recommendation or Issue 12: Explore the utility of the coordina was created to promote the efficient development, management and utiliza information systems being developed. | ation of geographic | | | |
| | agement Response: | | | |
| Key Action(s) | Time Frame | Responsible Unit(s) | Tracking | |
| | | | Status | Comments |
| 12.1 In the pilot-testing for geographic information sharing, the Regional Geographic Information Network of Region 2 was used. | 2010-2012 | NEDA- RDCS/NRO2 | Completed | Expansion of this initiative to other regions is not covered under the Project. |
| Evaluation Recommendation or Issue 13: With only a year or so towards the RDCS and NROs should continue its constant support to provincial LGUs. NE members who have not been trained yet. Mana | | | | |
| Key Action(s) | Time Frame | Responsible Unit(s) | Tracking | |
| | | | Status | Comments |
| 13.1 The trainings conducted involve personnel from different offices in the province. This provides an opportunity to pass on the technology to various departments in the province. | 2013 | NEDA-RDCS | Continuing | |
| 13.2 Part of the regular activities of NROs is to provide support to provinces in its planning activities. The RDC, in which provincial LGUs are members, serves as venue for interaction between NROs and provinces. | Part of regular activities of NROs | NEDA- RDCS/NROs | Continuing | |

Evaluation Recommendation or Issue 14: It is suggested that the existing institutional mechanism for DRR/CCA mainstreaming among government agencies such as HLURB, DILG, MGB, and provincial offices of other agencies be strengthened.

| Mana | gement Response: | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Key Action(s) | Time Frame | Responsible Unit(s) | Tracking | |
| | | | Status | Comments |
| 14.1. The strengthening of multi-stakeholder cooperation for DRR and CCA was included in the 2013 AWP | 2013 | CCC-CCO | Initiated | |
| 14.2 Forward linkaging with other stakeholders of the Project encouraged e.g., use of Reference Manual produced under the Project as input for HLURB's CLUP Guidelines updating | 2013 | NEDA RDCS | Initiated | |
| Evaluation Recommendation or Issue 15: The Project should find ways and | means to promote | the project and ma | ke its concerns know | n to stakeholders in |
| the academe and education. | | | | |
| Mana | gement Response: | | | |
| Key Action(s) | Time Frame | Responsible Unit(s) | Tracking | |
| | | | Status | Comments |
| 15.1 IEC activities in the regions are conducted in the RDCs in which DepEd, CHED and TESDA regional offices are represented | 2013 | NEDA- RDCS/NROs | Initiated | |